Application No.: 1

10/648,149

Filing Date:

August 25, 2003

## **REMARKS**

In the Office Action of June 21, 2007, the Examiner continues to reject the pending claims as being unpatentable over Ueshima, Fernandes, Nobrega, or some combination thereof. The Examiner has further rejected the claims under the obviousness-type double patenting rejection in view of the Applicant's co-pending application 10/648,149. By this paper, the Applicant has amended the claims of the application to highlight the subject matter that the Applicant believes is allowable over the art of record. Hence, reconsideration of the above-captioned application in light of the amendments and remarks contained herein is now respectfully requested.

After carefully reviewing each of the cited references, the Applicant notes that none of the references disclose the concept of a system that allows access to a secured component having an input by sending a uniquely generated code to a communications device, such as a cell phone, that the user can then use to input into the input in the same format that they would ordinarily input into the input of the at least one secured communication device (See, e.g., Claim 1 as amended.) Specifically, in one particular specific implementation, the Applicant contemplates that a person seeking access to a secure component where the user would type in a password via an input, will receive an alternative password code via their cell phone that they can then use to type into the input in the same manner that they would otherwise use to provide information into the input of the at least one secured component to gain access. In contrast, none of the other references show this. Specifically, with respect to Ueshima, the Applicant notes that Ueshima will be transmitting access information from a cell phone via a radio communications interface (See, Ueshima, Column 16, at about line 35). The Applicant notes that none of the other references disclose the combination as defined by Claim 1. The Applicant therefore believes that Claim 1 is allowable over the art of record. With respect to the double patenting rejection, the Applicant is hereby submitting a Terminal Disclaimer disclaiming the portion of the term of this pending application that extends beyond the term of the Applicant's co-pending application.

## No Disclaimers or Disavowals

Although the present communication may include alterations to the application or claims, or characterizations of claim scope or referenced art, the Applicants are not conceding in this application that previously pending claims are not patentable over the cited references. Rather,

**Application No.:** 

10/648,149

Filing Date:

August 25, 2003

any alterations or characterizations are being made to facilitate expeditious prosecution of this application. The Applicants reserve the right to pursue at a later date any previously pending or other broader or narrower claims that capture any subject matter supported by the present disclosure, including subject matter found to be specifically disclaimed herein or by any prior prosecution. Accordingly, reviewers of this or any parent, child or related prosecution history shall not reasonably infer that the Applicants have made any disclaimers or disavowals of any subject matter supported by the present application.

## Co-Pending Applications of Assignee

Applicant wishes to draw the Examiner's attention to the following co-pending applications of the present application's assignee.

Serial Number	Title	Filed
10/648,150	METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR ALTERNATIVE	08/25/2003
	ACCESS USING MOBILE ELECTRONIC DEVICES	

**Application No.:** 

10/648,149

Filing Date:

August 25, 2003

## **SUMMARY**

For the foregoing reasons, the Applicant believes that Claim 1 as amended is allowable over the art of record. The Applicant further submits that the remaining claims define additional patentable subject matter and are further allowable due to their respective dependency on Claim 1. The Applicant therefore believes that the above-captioned application is in condition for allowance and requests the prompt allowance of the same. Should there be any impediment to the prompt allowance of this application that could be resolved by a telephone conference, the Examiner is respectfully requested to call the undersigned at the number shown below.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

By:

Michael H. Trenholm Registration No. 37,743 Attorney of Record Customer No. 20995 (951) 781-9231

4685864:1w 122107